

A Free Probability Analogue of the Wasserstein Metric on the Trace-State Space

PHILIPPE BIANE AND DAN VOICULESCU

Incomplete preliminary version, June 1, 2000

Abstract. We define a free probability analogue of the Wasserstein metric, which extends the classical one. In dimension one, we prove that the square of the Wasserstein distance to the semi-circle distribution is majorized by a modified free entropy quantity.

0 Introduction

The Wasserstein distance between two probability distributions μ, ν on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is given by

$$W(\mu, \nu) = \inf_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)} \left(\int |x - y|^2 d\pi(x, y) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where $\Pi(\mu, \nu)$ denotes the probability measures on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ with marginals μ and ν .

Following the usual free probability recipe we shall replace the set of probability measures by the trace-state space of a C^* -algebra and take marginals with respect to a free product. In this note we begin the study of the ensuing free Wasserstein metric.

An inequality of M. Talagrand ([7],[10]) relates the Wasserstein distance from a Gaussian distribution and relative entropy. In the one-variable case we prove a related free probability result to this inequality, where the semicircle law replaces the Gauss law and the logarithmic energy plays the role of entropy. Note that in the case of n -tuples of commuting selfadjoint variables the classical and the free Wasserstein distances are equal.

In the context of non-commutative geometry, there is a different noncommutative extension, due to A. Connes [5], of the related Monge-Kantorowitz metric. The Monge-Kantorowitz metric is a $p = 1$, p -Wasserstein metric, but the definition which is extended is the dual definition based on Lipschitz functions, and the extension involves Fredholm-modules or derivations (recent work is surveyed in [9]).

1 The free Wasserstein metric

1.1 The distance on n -tuples of variables

We will work in the framework of tracial C^* -probability spaces (M, τ) , where M is a unital C^* -algebra and τ is a trace state. The simplest is to define the metric at the level of noncommutative random variables. If $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and $(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ are two n -tuples of noncommutative random variables in tracial C^* -probability spaces (M_1, τ_1) and (M_2, τ_2) , we define

$$W_p((X_1, \dots, X_n), (Y_1, \dots, Y_n))$$

as the infimum of

$$\|(|X'_j - Y'_j|_p)_{1 \leq j \leq n}\|_p$$

over $2n$ -tuples $(X'_1, \dots, X'_n, Y'_1, \dots, Y'_n)$ of noncommutative random variables in some tracial C^* -probability space (M_3, τ_3) such that the n -tuples $(X'_1, \dots, X'_n)$, $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and respectively $(Y'_1, \dots, Y'_n)$, $(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ have the same $*$ -distributions. Here $|\cdot|_p$ is the p -norm in a tracial C^* -probability space, while $\|\cdot\|_p$ is the p -norm on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Like in the classical case, if $p = 2$ we call W_p the free Wasserstein metric and we will also use the notation W for W_2 . We shall refer to W_p as the free p -Wasserstein metric. Note also that if

$$X_j = D_j + iE_j, \quad Y_j = F_j + iG_j$$

where D_j, E_j, F_j, G_j are self-adjoint, then

$$W((X_1, \dots, X_n), (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)) = W((D_1, \dots, D_n, E_1, \dots, E_n), (F_1, \dots, F_n, G_1, \dots, G_n))$$

Note also that $W_p((X_1, \dots, X_n), (Y_1, \dots, Y_n))$ depends only on the $*$ -distributions of $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and $(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$. If we consider n -tuples with the same $*$ -distribution as equivalent; then W_p will be a distance between equivalence classes of n -tuples.

1.2 The distance on trace states

We pass now to trace-state spaces $TS(A)$, where A is a unital C^* -algebra. We will assume A is finitely generated and we will assume such a generator $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ has been specified.

The p -Wasserstein metric on $TS(A)$ is given by

$$W_p(\tau', \tau'') = W_p((a'_1, \dots, a'_n), (a''_1, \dots, a''_n))$$

where $\tau', \tau'' \in TS(A)$ and $(a'_1, \dots, a'_n)$ and $(a''_1, \dots, a''_n)$ denote the variables defined by $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ in (A, τ') and respectively (A, τ'') .

This definition can be rephrased using free products. If A_1, A_2 are unital C^* -algebras, we denote by $\sigma_j : A_j \rightarrow A_1 * A_2$ the canonical injection of A_j into the full free product C^* -algebra (this presumes amalgamation over $\mathbb{C}1$). If $\tau_j \in TS(A_j)$, $(1 \leq j \leq 2)$ we define

$$TS(A_1 * A_2; \tau_1, \tau_2) = \{\tau \in TS(A_1 * A_2) \mid \tau \circ \sigma_j = \tau_j, j=1, 2\}.$$

Remark that $\tau_1 * \tau_2 \in TS(A_1 * A_2; \tau_1, \tau_2)$.

It is easy to see that

$$W_p(\tau', \tau'') = \inf\{\|(\|\sigma_1(a_j) - \sigma_2(a_j)\|_{p,\tau})_{1 \leq j \leq n}\|_p \mid \tau \in TS(A * A; \tau', \tau'')\}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{p,\tau}$ denotes the p -norm in $L^p(A; \tau)$.

Remark also that the distance on n -tuples of variables can be obtained from the definition for trace-states. Assume for simplicity $X_j = X_j^*$, $Y_j = Y_j^*$ and $R \geq \|X_j\|$, $R \geq \|Y_j\|$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. Let then $A = (C[-R, R])^{*n}$ (the free product of n copies) and $\sigma_k(a) = a_k$, where a is the identical function in $C[-R, R]$. Let $\rho_j : A \rightarrow M_j$, $j=1, 2$ be the $*$ -homomorphisms such that $\rho_1(a_k) = X_k$, $\rho_2(a_k) = Y_k$ where the X_k 's are in (M_1, τ_1) and the Y_k 's in (M_2, τ_2) . Then

$$W_p(\tau', \tau'') = W_p((X_1, \dots, X_n), (Y_1, \dots, Y_n))$$

where $\tau' = \tau_1 \circ \rho_1$, $\tau'' = \tau_2 \circ \rho_2$.

1.3 Theorem. W_p is a metric.

Proof. To check that W_p is a metric on the set of equivalence classes of n -tuples of variables or equivalently on a trace-state space $ST(A)$ like in 1.2, the nontrivial assertion is the triangle inequality. Indeed that $W_p((X_1, \dots, X_n), (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)) = 0 \Leftrightarrow (X_1, \dots, X_n), (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ have the same $*$ -distribution or

$$W_p(\tau', \tau'') = 0 \Leftrightarrow \tau' = \tau''$$

are easy to see. For the triangle inequality it will suffice to prove it in the context of 1.1.

Let $(X'_1, \dots, X'_n, Y'_1, \dots, Y'_n)$ in (M_{12}, τ_{12}) and $(Y''_1, \dots, Y''_n, Z''_1, \dots, Z''_n)$ in (M_{23}, τ_{23}) be $2n$ -tuples in tracial W^* -probability spaces such that $(X'_1, \dots, X'_n) \sim (X_1, \dots, X_n)$, $(Y'_1, \dots, Y'_n) \sim (Y''_1, \dots, Y''_n) \sim (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$, $(Z''_1, \dots, Z''_n) \sim (Z_1, \dots, Z_n)$, where $\sim$ means the n -tuples have equal $*$ -distribution. There is a trace-preserving automorphism of $W^*(Y'_1, \dots, Y'_n)$ and $W^*(Y''_1, \dots, Y''_n)$ which identifies Y'_j and Y''_j . Abusing notations we shall denote by M_2 the von Neumann subalgebras of M_{12} and M_{23} generated by $(Y'_1, \dots, Y'_n)$ and respectively $(Y''_1, \dots, Y''_n)$ identified as above. Let E' and E'' be the conditional expectations of M_{12} and respectively M_{23} onto M_2 .

Let $(M_{123}, E) = (M_{12}, E') *_{M_2} (M_{23}, E'')$ and $\tau_{123} = \tau_2 \circ E$ where $\tau_2 = \tau_{12}|_{M_2} = \tau_{23}|_{M_2}$ (see 3.8 in [14]). Further, with $\rho_{12} : M_{12} \rightarrow M_{123}$, $\rho_{23} : M_{23} \rightarrow M_{123}$ denoting the canonical embeddings, let $X'''_j = \rho_{12}(X'_j)$, $Z'''_j = Z''_j$. Then $\rho_{12}(Y'_j) = \rho_{23}(Y''_j)$ implies

$$|X'''_j - Z'''_j|_{p, \tau_{123}} \leq |X'''_j - \rho_{12}(Y'_j)|_{p, \tau_{123}} + |\rho_{23}(Y''_j) - Z'''_j|_{p, \tau_{123}} = |X'_j - Y'_j|_{p, \tau_{12}} + |Y''_j - Z''_j|_{p, \tau_{23}}$$

which is precisely what we need to establish the triangle inequality

$$W_p((X_1, \dots, X_n), (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)) + W_p((Y_1, \dots, Y_n), (Z_1, \dots, Z_n)) \geq W_p((X_1, \dots, X_n), (Z_1, \dots, Z_n)) .$$

□

Let us also record as a proposition some easy consequences of the compacity of the trace-state space. The proof is left to the reader.

1.4 Proposition. (a) *The infimum in the definition of W_p is attained (both in the 1.1 and 1.2 contexts).*

(b) *Let $\tau_1^{(k)}, \tau_1, \tau_2^{(k)}, \tau_2 \in TS(A)$ and assume $\tau_j^{(k)}$ converges weakly to τ_j as $k \rightarrow \infty$ ($j = 1, 2$). Then*

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} W_p(\tau_1^{(k)}, \tau_2^{(k)}) \geq W_p(\tau_1, \tau_2) .$$

(c) *Let $(X_1^{(k)}, \dots, X_n^{(k)}), (X_1, \dots, X_n), (Y_1^{(k)}, \dots, Y_n^{(k)}), (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ be n -tuples of variables in tracial C^* -probability spaces and assume that $\|X_j^{(k)}\| \leq R$, $\|X_j\| \leq R$, $\|Y_j^{(k)}\| \leq R$, $\|Y_j\| \leq R$, and that $(X_1^{(k)}, \dots, X_n^{(k)}), (Y_1^{(k)}, \dots, Y_n^{(k)})$ converge in $*$ -distribution to $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and respectively $(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$. Then*

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} W_p((X_1^{(k)}, \dots, X_n^{(k)}), (Y_1^{(k)}, \dots, Y_n^{(k)})) \geq W_p((X_1, \dots, X_n), (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)) .$$

If $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ are commuting self-adjoint variables in a tracial C^* -probability space, then their distribution $\mu_{X_1, \dots, X_n}$ is a compactly supported probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

1.5 Theorem. *Let $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and $(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ be two n -tuples of commuting self-adjoint variables in tracial C^* -probability spaces. Then the free and classical Wasserstein distances are equal:*

$$W((X_1, \dots, X_n), (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)) = W(\mu_{X_1, \dots, X_n}, \mu_{Y_1, \dots, Y_n}) .$$

Proof. The left-hand side is $\leq$ the right-hand side, since the classical Wasserstein distance can be defined the same way as the free one, with the only difference that the $2n$ -tuples $(X'_1, \dots, X'_n, Y'_1, \dots, Y'_n)$ in the infimum are required to live in commutative tracial C^* -probability spaces. We therefore only need to prove $\geq$.

Let $(X'_1, \dots, X'_n, Y'_1, \dots, Y'_n)$ be a $2n$ -tuple in the infimum defining the free distance. Passing to the von Neumann algebra completion, we may assume (M_3, τ_3) , where X'_j, Y'_j live, is a W^* -probability space with a normal faithful trace state. Let $A = W^*(X'_1, \dots, X'_n) \subset M_3$, $B = W^*(Y'_1, \dots, Y'_n) \subset M_3$ and let E_A be the canonical conditional expectation onto A . Then the unital trace-preserving completely positive map $\varphi = E_A|_B : B \rightarrow A$ gives rise to a state $\nu : A \otimes B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, on a commutative algebra, defined by

$$\nu(a \otimes b) = \tau_3(a\varphi(b)) .$$

The positivity of ν ,

$$\tau_3\left(\sum_{i,j} a_i a_j^* \varphi(b_i b_j^*)\right) \geq 0 ,$$

is easily inferred from the positivity of the matrix $(\varphi(b_i b_j^*))_{i,j}$. Alternatively, probabilistically, ν is the probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ obtained by integrating w.r.t. $\mu_{X_1, \dots, X_n}$ the kernel of probability measures describing

$$\varphi : L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu_{Y_1 \dots Y_n}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu_{X_1 \dots X_n}) .$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \nu((X'_j - Y'_j)^2) &= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \tau_3(X_j'^2 + \varphi(Y_j'^2) - X'_j \cdot \varphi(Y'_j) - Y'_j \varphi(X'_j)) \\
&= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \tau_3(X'_j + Y_j'^2 - 2E_A(X'_j Y'_j)) \\
&= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \tau_3((X'_j - Y'_j)^2) .
\end{aligned}$$

Since $A \otimes B$ is commutative this proves the theorem. $\square$

2 Cost of transportation to the semicircle distribution

2.1 The complex quasilinear differential equation

Let X, S in (M, τ) be self-adjoint and freely independent and assume S is $(0,1)$ semicircular. The purpose of section 2 is to estimate $W(X, S)$. We begin by studying variables $X(t) = e^{-t/2}X + (1 - e^{-t})^{\frac{1}{2}}S$ which have the same distribution as the variables in the free Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. For technical reasons, and without extra work, the complex PDE will be derived under the more general assumption that X is unbounded self-adjoint affiliated with M (see [1]).

If Y is self-adjoint affiliated with M , we denote by μ_X its distribution and by $G_{\mu_Y}(z)$ or $G_Y(z)$ the Cauchy transform of μ_Y , which equals $\tau((zI - X)^{-1})$.

If $Y(r) = X + r^{\frac{1}{2}}S$, let $\tilde{G}(r, z) = G_{Y(r)}(z)$ and $G(t, z) = G_{X(t)}(z)$, $\text{Im } z > 0$, $r \geq 0$, $t \geq 0$. Then $\tilde{G}$ satisfies the complex Burgers equation (see [3],[12])

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{G}}{\partial r} + \tilde{G} \frac{\partial \tilde{G}}{\partial z} = 0 .$$

Like $\tilde{G}(t, z)$ also $G(t, z)$ is C^1 on $[0, \infty) \times \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im } z > 0\}$ and holomorphic in z for fixed t . Note that $X(t) = e^{-t/2}Y(e^t)$ and that $G_{\alpha Y}(z) = \alpha^{-1}G(\alpha^{-1}z)$. It follows that $G(t, z) = e^{t/2}\tilde{G}(e^t, e^{t/2}z)$. The complex Burgers equation then gives

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial t} + (G - \frac{z}{2})\frac{\partial G}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{2}G = 0 \tag{1}$$

with initial data $G(0, z) = G_X(z)$.

2.2 The transport equation

Here we shall assume that the distribution of X is of the form $P_\lambda * \mu$ where P_λ is the Cauchy distribution with density $\pi^{-1}\lambda(\lambda^2 + x^2)^{-1}$ ($\lambda > 0$) and μ has compact support. Since $P_\lambda * \mu = P_\lambda \boxplus \mu$ ([1]) this is equivalent to replacing X with $X + \lambda C$ where X is bounded, X and C are free and C has a Cauchy distribution P_1 . Note that $\mu_{X+\lambda C+r\frac{1}{2}S} = \mu_{X+r\frac{1}{2}S} * P_\lambda$, $G_{X+\lambda C+r\frac{1}{2}S}(z) = G_{X+r\frac{1}{2}S}(z + i\lambda)$, etc. Thus, if the distribution of X is of the form $P_\lambda * \mu$ then the equation (1) is satisfied on an extended domain

$$\{(t, z) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Im} z > -e^{t/2}\lambda\}.$$

Let $-\pi^{-1}G(x, t) = q(x, t) + ip(x, t)$ where $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $p(\cdot, t)$ is the density of $\mu_{X(t)}$ and is analytic. For fixed t and $k \geq 0$ we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^k} p(x, t) \right| = O((1 + |x|)^{-2-k}) \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^k} q(x, t) \right| = O((1 + |x|)^{-1-k}).$$

Moreover these bounds are uniform for t in a compact set.

Equation (1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} q_t &= \pi(qq_x - pp_x) + 2^{-1}(xq_x + q) \\ p_t &= \pi(pq_x + qp_x) + 2^{-1}(xp_x + p) \\ q &= -Hp \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where H denotes the Hilbert transform.

Since $p(x, t) > 0$ we infer that

$$f(a, t) = \int_{-\infty}^a p(x, t) dx$$

is a C^∞ -diffeomorphism $f(\cdot, t) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ which transports $\mu_{X(t)}$ to Lebesgue measure. Hence $\varphi_{s,t}(\cdot) = f^{-1}(f(\cdot, s), t)$ ($0 < s < t$) will be a C^∞ -diffeomorphism $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which transports $\mu_{X(s)}$ to $\mu_{X(t)}$. This is the same as saying that $X(t)$ and $\varphi_{s,t}(X(s))$ have the same distribution.

It is easily seen that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f^{-1}(y, t) = \frac{-\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f\right)(f^{-1}(y, t), t)}{p(f^{-1}(y, t), t)}.$$

Using (2) to compute $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}f$ we find

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}f(a, t) = \int_{-\infty}^a (\pi(pq)_x + 2^{-1}(xp)_x)dx = \pi(pq)(a, t) + 2^{-1}ap(a, t) .$$

Hence

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}f^{-1}(y, t) = -\pi q(f^{-1}(y, t), t) - 2^{-1}f^{-1}(y, t) .$$

For $y = f(x, s)$ we get the transport equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\varphi_{s,t}(x) = \pi(Hp(\cdot, t))(\varphi_{s,t}(x)) - 2^{-1}\varphi_{s,t}(x) \quad (3)$$

with initial condition $\varphi_{s,s}(x) = x$.

By the L^m -continuity ($1 < m < \infty$) results for the density (see Corollary 2 in [1]) applied to $\mu \boxplus \mu_{r^{\frac{1}{2}}S}$ as a function of r , we infer after convolutions with Cauchy distributions the continuity of

$$(0, \infty) \ni t \longrightarrow Hp(\cdot, t) \in L^m(\mathbb{R})$$

(the L^m -space w.r.t. Lebesgue measure). The reader should keep these facts in mind in computations where we shall use (3).

Lemma 2.3 *Assume X has distribution $\mu * P_\lambda$, where μ has compact support and let $X(t) = e^{-t/2}X + (1 + e^{-t})^{\frac{1}{2}}S$ with S $(0, 1)$ -semicircular and free from X . Let $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $\|g\|_\infty < \infty$, $\|g'\|_\infty \leq 1$ and assume g' has compact support. Then*

$$(t - s)^2 W(g(X(s)), g(X(t)))^2 \leq \sup_{s \leq h \leq t} \int_{\text{supp } g'} (\pi Hp(\cdot, h)(x) - 2^{-1}x)^2 p(x, h) dx .$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& W(g(X(s)), g(X(t)))^2 \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(x) - g(\varphi_{s,t}(x))|^2 p(x, s) dx \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_s^t g'(\varphi_{s,h}(x)) (\pi H p(\cdot, h)(\varphi_{sh}(x)) - 2^{-1} \varphi_{s,h}(x)) dh \right)^2 p(x, s) dx \\
& \leq (t-s) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_s^t (g'(\varphi_{s,h}(x)))^2 (\pi H p(\cdot, h)(\varphi_{sh}(x)) - 2^{-1} \varphi_{s,h}(x))^2 dh p(x, s) dx \\
& = (t-s) \int_s^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (g'(\varphi_{s,h}(x)))^2 (\pi H p(\cdot, h)(\varphi_{sh}(x)) - 2^{-1} \varphi_{s,h}(x))^2 dh p(x, s) dx \right) dh \\
& = (t-s) \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} (g'(x))^2 (\pi H p(\cdot, h)(x) - 2^{-1} x)^2 p(x, h) dx dh \\
& \leq (t-s)^2 \sup_{s \leq h \leq t} \int_{\text{supp } g'} (\pi H p(\cdot, h)(x) - 2^{-1} x)^2 p(x, h) dx .
\end{aligned}$$

□

2.4. Assume X is bounded and the semicircular variable S is free w.r.t. X . Then the distribution $\mu_{X(t)}$ of $X(t) = e^{-t/2}X + (1 - e^t)^{\frac{1}{2}}S$ has L^∞ -density $p(\cdot, t)$ w.r.t. Lebesgue measure (see any of the papers [1],[2],[3],[11],[12]).

Lemma. Assume X is bounded, S is $(0, 1)$ semicircular, X and S are free and let $p(\cdot, t)$ be the density of $\mu_{X(t)}$, where $X(t) = e^{-t/2}X + (1 - e^t)^{\frac{1}{2}}S$. Then

$$(t-s)^{-2} W(X(s), X(t))^2 \leq \sup_{s \leq h \leq t} \int (\pi H p(\cdot, h)(x) - 2^{-1} x)^2 p(x, h) dx .$$

Proof. Let C be a variable with Cauchy distribution and free w.r.t. $\{X, S\}$. Let $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $\|g'\|_\infty \leq 1$, $g(x) = x$ if $|x| \leq \|X\| + 1$ and $g'(x) = 0$ if $|x| \geq \|X\| + 2$. We shall apply Lemma 2.3 to $X + \lambda C$ in place of X . Let

$$Z(t, \lambda) = e^{-t/2}(X + \lambda C) + (1 - e^{-t})^{\frac{1}{2}}S = X(t) + e^{-t/2}\lambda C .$$

Then $g(Z(t, \lambda))$ is an operator of norm $\leq \|X\| + 2$ and converges in distribution to $X(t)$. Moreover the distribution of $Z(t, \lambda)$ is given by the density $P_{e^{-t/2}\lambda} * p(\cdot, t)$ and will be

denoted by $p(\cdot, t, \lambda)$. In view of the L^m -continuity of $p(\cdot, t)$ ($1 < m < \infty$) ([12]) it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \left(\sup_{s \leq h \leq t} \int_{\text{supp } g'} (\pi H p(\cdot, h, \lambda)(x) - 2^{-1}x)^2 p(x, h, \lambda) dx \right. \\ & \quad \left. \leq \sup_{s \leq h \leq t} \int (\pi H p(\cdot, h)(x) - 2^{-1}x)^2 p(x, h) dx \right) . \end{aligned}$$

□

2.5. From now on we return to the context of bounded variables X . If the distribution of X is Lebesgue absolutely continuous and has density p which is L^3 , then $\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{J}(X) = \pi H p(X)$ where $\mathcal{J}(X)$ is the conjugate variable (a.k.a. free Brownian gradient, a.k.a. noncommutative Hilbert transform) (see [13]) and

$$\Phi(X) = \tau(\mathcal{J}(X)^2) = 4\pi^2 \int (H p(x))^2 p(x) dx = \frac{4}{3}\pi^2 \int p^3(x) dx$$

is the free Fisher information (see [11],[13] up to different normalizations). The quantity occurring in Lemma 2.4,

$$I(X) = 4 \int (\pi H p(x) - 2^{-1}x)^2 p(x) dx = \tau((\mathcal{J}(X) - X)^2) = \Phi(X) - 2 + \tau(X^2) ,$$

is a generalization of the free Fisher information for Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes (see [4]). The inequality in Lemma 2.4 can also be written

$$4(t-s)^{-2} W(X(s), X(t))^2 \leq \sup_{s \leq h \leq t} I(X(h)) . \quad (4)$$

2.6 The free entropy

The free entropy of X with distribution $\mu = \mu_X$ is

$$\chi(X) = \iint \log |s - t| d\mu(s) d\mu(t) + \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi)$$

(see [11],[13] up to different constants) and we have

$$\chi(\alpha X) = \chi(X) + \log |\alpha| \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon^{-1} (\chi(X + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} S) - \chi(X)) = 2^{-1} \Phi(X) .$$

The quantity we shall use in estimating the distance to the semicircle distribution is a modified free entropy adapted to the free Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process ([4]):

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Sigma}(X) &= -\chi(X) + \chi(S) + \frac{1}{2}\tau(X^2) - \frac{1}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\tau(X^2) - \iint d\mu(s)d\mu(t) \log |s - t| - \frac{3}{4} .\end{aligned}$$

We have $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\Sigma}(X(t)) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{\Sigma}(X(t)) &= \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{t}{2} - \chi(X + (e^t - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}S) + \frac{1}{2}e^{-t}\tau(X^2) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - e^{-t}) \right) \\ &= 2^{-1} \left(1 - e^t \Phi(X + (e^t - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}S) - e^{-t}\tau(X^2) + e^{-t} \right) \\ &= 2^{-1}(1 - \Phi(X(t)) - \tau(X(t)^2) + 1) = -2^{-1}I(X).\end{aligned}$$

Note also that in [4] using the logarithmic Sobolev inequality for χ (Prop. 7.9 in [13]), it is shown that

$$\tilde{\Sigma}(X(t)) \leq 2^{-1}I(X(t)) \tag{5}$$

which is a logarithmic Sobolev inequality for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process.

Lemma 2.7 *Assume X, Y are bounded and self-adjoint, then if $t > 0$ we have*

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} |\varepsilon|^{-1} |W(Y, X(t + \varepsilon)) - W(Y, X(t))| \leq 2^{-1}(I(X(t)))^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Proof. By the triangle inequality for W , we have

$$|W(Y, X(t + \varepsilon)) - W(Y, X(t))| \leq W(X(t), X(t + \varepsilon)) .$$

The lemma then follows from (4) and the continuity of $I(X(h))$ ($h > 0$), which is a consequence of the continuity of $\Phi(X(h))$ (Corollary 2 in [12]). $\square$

We now have all ingredients to get an estimate for $W(X, S)$ which is similar in the free context to an inequality of Talagrand in the classical setting ([7],[10]).

Theorem 2.8 $W(X, S)^2 \leq \tilde{\Sigma}(X)$.

Proof. Because of the semicircular maximum for χ we have $\chi(X) \leq \chi(S) + 2^{-1} \log(\tau(X^2))$ so that $\tilde{\Sigma}(X) \geq 2^{-1}(\tau(X^2) - (1 + \log \tau(X^2))) \geq 0$. Thus it will suffice to prove that $W(X, S) - (\tilde{\Sigma}(X))^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 0$.

By Lemma 2.7, the inequality (5) and the formula for the derivative of $\tilde{\Sigma}(X(t))$, we have for $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{-1} (W(X(t+\varepsilon), S) - (\tilde{\Sigma}(X(t+\varepsilon)))^{\frac{1}{2}} - W(X(t), S) + (\tilde{\Sigma}(X(t)))^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ \geq -2^{-1}(I(X(t)))^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2^{-2}I(X(t))(\tilde{\Sigma}(X(t)))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ \geq 2^{-1}(I(X(t)))^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2^{-2+1}I(X(t))(I(X(t)))^{-\frac{1}{2}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $W(X(t), S) - (\tilde{\Sigma}(X(t)))^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is an increasing function and we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (W(X(t), S) - (\tilde{\Sigma}(X(t)))^{\frac{1}{2}}) = 0$$

because of the semicircular maximum and lower semicontinuity of χ . It follows that

$$W(X(t), S) - (\tilde{\Sigma}(X(t)))^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 0$$

if $t > 0$. To get the inequality for $t = 0$, remark that $X(t)$ is norm-continuous so that $W(X(t), S)$ tends to $W(X, S)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. On the other hand, by lower semicontinuity of χ ,

$$\liminf_{t \downarrow 0} (-(\tilde{\Sigma}(X(t)))^{\frac{1}{2}}) \geq -(\tilde{\Sigma}(X))^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

□

2.9 Remark.

Because of the coincidence of the free and classical Wasserstein distance for single self-adjoint variables, the preceding theorem can also be written in terms of probability measures for the classical distance. Let μ be a compactly supported probability measure on $\mathbb{R}$ and σ a (0,1)-semicircle distribution. Then we have

$$(W(\mu, \sigma))^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \int x^2 d\mu(x) - \iint d\mu(s) d\mu(t) \log |s - t| - \frac{3}{4}.$$

Acknowledgment. This research was conducted by Dan Voiculescu for the Clay Mathematics Institute. He was also supported in part by National Science Foundation grant DMS95-00308.

References

- [1] Bercovici, H., Voiculescu, D. Free convolution of measures with unbounded support. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **42** (1993), no. 3, 733–773.
- [2] Biane, P. Processes with free increments. *Math. Z.* **227** (1998), 143–174.
- [3] Biane, P. On the free convolution with a semicircular distribution. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **46** (1997), 705–717.
- [4] Biane, P., Speicher R. Free diffusions, free entropy and free Fisher information. Preprint DMA, ENS 99–33 (1999).
- [5] Connes, A. Compact metric spaces, Fredholm modules and hyperfiniteness. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems* **9** (1989), 207–220.
- [6] Evans, L.C. Partial differential equations and Monge-Kantorovich mass transfer. Preprint.
- [7] Otto, F., Villani, C. Generalization of an inequality by Talagrand, and links with the logarithmic Sobolev inequality. Preprint DMA, ENS 99–23 (1999).
- [8] Rachev, S.T. *Probability Metrics and the Stability of Stochastic Models*, Wiley series in probability and mathematical statistics (1991).
- [9] Rieffel, M.A. Metrics on state space. Preprint (1999).
- [10] Talagrand, M. Transportation cost for Gaussian and other product measures. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **6** (1996), 587–600.
- [11] Voiculescu, D. The analogues of entropy and of Fisher’s information measure in free probability theory, I. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **155** (1993), 71–92.

- [12] Voiculescu, D. The derivative of order $1/2$ of a free convolution by a semicircle distribution. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **46** (1997), 697–703.
- [13] Voiculescu, D. The analogues of entropy and of Fisher’s information measure in free probability theory, V: noncommutative Hilbert transforms. *Invent. Math.* **132** (1998), 189–227.
- [14] Voiculescu, D., Dykema, K., Nica, A. *Free Random Variables*. CRM Monograph Series no. 1, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (1992).

Philippe Biane
 CNRS, DMA, École Normnale Supérieure
 45 Rue d’Ulm
 75005 Paris, France
 BIANE@DMI.ENS.FR

Dan Voiculescu
 Department of Mathematics
 University of California
 Berkeley, California 94720–3840 USA
 dvv@math.berkeley.edu